

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION	
10/656,212	09/08/2003	Tadakatsu Nabeya	242547US3 7495	
22850 7590 04/21/2005			EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPI 1940 DUKE S	VAK, MCCLELLAN TREET	RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/656,21	2	NABEYA, TADAKATSU			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		M Rachub	oa 📗	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 02 February 200	<u>05</u> .				
,	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		,				
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
· =	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	O-048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Por No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,212

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The objection to claim 10 has been overcome by applicant's amendment.

Specification

2. The previous objection to the specification based on improper incorporation by reference was made in error, and has been withdrawn. The foreign publications listed are prior art, and are not meant to be incorporated as part of the disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Preston 6,196,911 in view of Breivogel et al, 5,547,417, as set forth in the Office action mailed 02 August 2004. Further, regarding claim 10, as '417 teaches that the adjusters are independently adjustable, any of the segments of '417 inherently can be higher, or lower, than any other segment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided '911 with the independently adjustable means of '417, to make any of the segments of '911 higher or lower than the other segments, to adjust for variations in the pad surface. Regarding claim 11, please refer to the previous actions rejection of claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,212

Art Unit: 3723

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02 February 2005 have been fully considered but 5. they are not persuasive. Applicant argues "Specifically, Applicants respectfully assert that the threaded shanks 236 of '417 do not serve to adjust differences in height of abrasive grain units arranged on a dressing face of a rotatable base, as recited in independent Claim 1. Rather, as discussed above, Applicants respectfully assert that '417 states that the distance which the tips of the threaded shanks 236 extend from the conditioning block 222 is adjusted to vary a depth of the groove formed in the polishing pad 204 by the tips of the threaded shanks 236." The examiner fails to understand how, in adjusting the shanks to vary a depth of groove, the adjusters are not also serving to adjust the difference in height with respect to the dressing face between reference planes of the respective abrasive grain units, the reference planes each being defined by ends of the abrasive grains in the corresponding abrasive grain units." As the threaded shanks do not also adjust the conditioning block surface, but only the distance between the dressing block surface and the tip of the shank, it is the examiner's position that '911, as modified by '417, makes obvious applicant's claimed invention. That applicant has another reason for adjusting the height of the abrasive units is moot, as the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See Ex parte Obiaya, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Application/Control Number: 10/656,212

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M Rachuba whose telephone number is **(571) 272-4493.** The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Rachuba
Primary Patent Examiner (

